147



Equality Questionnaire

This questionnaire is a pre Equality Impact Assessment tool which will enable you to decide whether or not the **new**, **proposed or significantly changed** policy/ practice/ procedure/ function/ service needs to go through a full Equality Impact Assessment. For further information on the equality questionnaire see the quidance.

Name of policy/ practice/ procedure/ function/ service being assessed:		Charging for Non-Residential Services			
Department and Section:		Adults & Communities, Community Care Finance			
Name of lead officer and others completing this assessment:		Colleen Smith, Head of Service			
Contact telephone numbers:		0116 305 8369			
Date	EIA assessment completed:	Previous EIA reviewed Feb 2014. New EIA assessment to be completed after consultation 2014			
1.	 What is its purpose? Charging Policy for Non Residential Services specifically: Removal of subsidy from the Adults & Communities' budget towards the cost of all non-residential services including meals. Requirement for individuals subject to section 117 of the Mental Health Act 1983 to contribute the full cost of their meals service Application of Fairer Charging Policy in respect of extra care services regardless of whether they are provided as part of a community care assessment However, the decision whether to implement these proposals will be made by Cabinet and will take account of feedback received from public consultation undertaken between 1 April 2014 and 30 June 2014. 				
2.	 What are its main objectives? To review how the Council charges for non-residential social care services and to create a charging policy that is fair and equitable by implementing the following proposals: 1. Removal of the subsidy that is paid from the Adults & Communities budget towards the cost of some non-residential social care services (i.e. meals services). 2. Requirement for individuals subject to section 117 of the Mental Health Act 1983 to contribute the full cost of their meals service. 3. To charge service users living in Extra Care Housing Schemes¹ for the extra care services they receive regardless of whether they are provided as part of a community care assessment. It should be noted that this charge will be in addition to the support services received as part of their Extra Care housing provision. The costs of these support services are included within the housing costs (i.e. rent or service charges) paid by the individual. No individual will be charged twice for the same service However, the decision whether to implement these proposals will be made by Cabinet and will take account of feedback received from public consultation undertaken 1 April 2014 and 30 June 2014. 				
3.	What will it achieve? Who are its beneficiaries? An equitable and transparent charging policy is created, that ensures everyone is paying only what they can afford to pay. Everyone receiving non-residential care services and extra care services will be subject to the same charging policy.				

¹ Extra Care Housing is specially adapted housing with access to 24 hour support (excluding personal care) which provides an alternative to residential care.

				<u> 148</u>			
	Who is responsible for imple Colleen Smith, Head of Services		_	nity Caro Einana	20	Ndulta & Cammuni	tios Donartmont
4.	Collecti Stillti, riead of Serv	vice, C	Ommu	ility Care Filland	<i>j</i> e, <i>i</i>	Adults & Communi	lies Department
						Yes	No
5.	Has prior consultation on the Request to consult being su						No
	Has this consultation indicat	ed any	dissa	tisfaction with it			N/A
6.	from a particular section of t	he con	nmunit	y?			
	If yes to Question 6, please						
7.	Consultation not yet underta	aken. F	Reques	st to consult beir	ng s	submitted to Cabin	et Mar 5 2014.
						Yes	No
8.	Is there evidence or any oth	er reas	on to	suggest that it	Υe	es	
	could have a different effect						
	section of the community? C more of the protected chara		•	fically, one or			
	more or the protected chara	Clensu	CS!				
9.	Is a system in place to moni	tor its i	mpact	?			Not yet
10.	If yes to Q9, what does this	monito	rina sh	10W2			
10.	ii yes to Qs, what does this	mornic	illig si	iow:			
Noto:	If no to Ougation O you will r	and to		a that manitarin	·	votomo ara astabli	ahad ta ahaak far
	If no to Question 9, you will ret on all nine protected charac			e mai monitorin	g s	ystems are establis	sned to check for
•	nange to the policy is approve			systems will be	am	ended to include t	he changes.
11.	Who is likely to be affected						stics? (Please tick)
	Explain how each protected [NB. Alternatively, if no protection]						se evolain whyl
	[ND. Alternatively, if no prote	Joica C	i iai aci	cristic is accine	u ic	be affected, picas	sc explain why
		Yes	No			Comments	
	Age	Yes					ceipt of social care
					•	ices are older peo	ty of people in receipt
						assets accumulat	` ,
				may be in the	mos		eir charges increased
	Diaghilitus	Vaa		by the change		(070/) of comics	
	Disability	Yes		_	-	•	sers have some sort lity, or mental health
						-	by any changes to
				the Charging F	Poli	cy. However, it sh	ould be noted that
							based on a means
							they do not have to I (i.e. defined basic
				levels of Incom	ne S	Support or the Gua	rantee Credit of
							of meals is excluded
						assessment as it i	s considered by ce, i.e. would have to
						er commissioned b	
				Communities			.,

			149
			Any written materials (including consultation documents, website information, etc.) must be accessible for those with a learning disability and/or sensory impairment.
			Consultation events must be accessible for people with a range of disabilities. This will include holding events at physically accessible venues which are near to public transport and/or offer disabled car parking. In addition, information regarding the consultation and subsequent Fairer Charging Policy (presentations, agendas, questionnaires, etc.) must be presented in accessible formats such as Easy Read and large print. The timings of such events should also be considered to prevent clashes with delivery of social care services,
			medical appointments and caring responsibilities.
Gender Reassignment	1	No	The charging policy strives for equity; therefore there is no reason why a person would be charged more due gender reassignment. However, this may impact on the individual's care needs and preferences regarding service delivery. We must be aware of this throughout the consultation.
Marriage and Civil Partnership	1	No	Where an individual has been identified as being part of a couple (including married couples, civil partners, co-habitees), two fairer charging assessments are completed.
			The first will assess the service user as an individual and the second will assess the service user as part of the couple (i.e. considering the income, savings and expenditure of both partners). The outcome of the assessment that is most financially beneficial to the service user will be used as the basis for charging.
			This approach ensures that those service users who live with a partner are not treated less fairly under the Fairer Charging policy. However, issues may arise when one member of the couple is unwilling to share information about their financial circumstances with A&C and/or their partner.
Pregnancy and Maternity	Yes		New or expectant mothers can be affected more than most by unexpected or additional costs. Data about new or expectant mothers that might be affected will be collected via the consultation.
			The timings of consultation events should also be considered to prevent clashes with medical appointments and child care responsibilities.
Race	1	No	The charging policy strives for equity; therefore there is no reason why one race would be charged more than any other. However, a person's racial background may impact on their care needs and preferences regarding

	_	_
1	\mathbf{L}	n
- 1	:	w

150					
		service delivery.			
		In addition, individuals' racial backgrounds will need to be considered when undertaking consultation. For example, by ensuring that if requested, documents are made available in appropriate community languages.			
Religion or Belief	No	The charging policy strives for equity; therefore there is no reason why person would be charged more than any other because of their religion. However, a person's religious requirements may impact on their care needs and preferences regarding service delivery. In addition, individuals' religions will need to be considered when undertaking consultation. For example, by ensuring that consultation events do not clash with			
		key religious festivals or practices.			
Sex	No	The charging policy strives for equity; therefore there is no reason why person would be charged more than any other because of their sex. However, a person's sex may impact on their care needs and preferences regarding service delivery. We must be aware of this throughout the consultation.			
Sexual Orientation No		The charging policy strives for equity; therefore there is no reason why a person would be charged more than any other because of their sexual orientation. However, a person's sexual orientation may impact on their care needs and preferences regarding service delivery. See also comments above re "Marriage and Civil Partnership".			
Other groups e.g. rural isolation, deprivation, health inequality, carers, asylum seeker and refugee communities, looked after children, deprived or disadvantaged communities		Changes to the charging policy will also affect carers. Therefore to ensure they are able to participate the location and timings of consultation events should be considered to prevent clashes with delivery of social care services, medical appointments and caring responsibilities.			
Community Cohesion	No	One of the major perceived impacts would be on people of different financial means. However, it should be noted that the charges an individual pays are based on a means test/financial assessment to ensure they do not have to contribute more than they can afford (i.e. defined basic levels of Income Support or the Guarantee Credit of Pension Credit plus 25%). As those with more money may see an increase in their charges they may feel discriminated against. However, the consultation will show us if this is the case.			
12. Other comments:					

151								
13.	Decision:	Decision:						
	No Impact	Positive Impac	t	Neutral Impact	Negative Impact or Impact Not Known ²			
Note	: If ticked 'Negative Imp	act or Impact No	ot Knov	vn' box at Question 15	, will need to progress to full EIA.			
14.	Proceed to full EIA?			Yes X	No			
15.	What are your reasons for your decision? Consultation is needed to fully understand the impact on individuals. Request to consult is being considered by Cabinet 5 Mar 2014							
	1 st Authorised signature (Lead Officer):							

Date: 2nd Authorised Signature (Member of DMT): Date:

Once completed and authorised by the Departmental Equalities Group, this Equality Questionnaire will need to be published on our website. Please send a copy of this form to the Members Secretariat in the Chief Executives Department to louisa.jordan@leics.gov.uk

² 'Impact Not Known' – tick this box if there is no up-to-date data or information to show the effects or outcomes of the function, policy, procedure or service on all of the equality strands.